The association between body composition, physical activity, and body image among Costa Rican models and controls
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ABSTRACT: The aim of the study was to determine the association between body composition, physical activity and body image (BI) among Costa Rican models and controls. Participants were female (n = 35) and male (n = 18) models and female (n = 40) and male (n = 42) controls. Participants underwent body composition assessments, completed the International Physical Activity Questionnaire and gender-specific BI scales. Body fat % (BF%) was lower in models than controls and lower in males than females (p ≤ 0.001). BI was similar between female models and controls and between male models and controls. Males showed higher physical activity (PA) levels than females (p = 0.001). Significant correlations were found between weekly PA and BF% (p ≤ 0.001). In conclusion, Costa Rican models are taller and presented lower BF% than controls. Males were more physically active than females and BI perception was similar between genders and groups.
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La asociación entre la composición corporal, la actividad física, y la imagen corporal en modelos y controles costarricenses

RESUMEN: El propósito del estudio fue determinar la asociación entre la composición corporal, la actividad física y la imagen corporal (IC) en modelos y controles costarricenses. Participaron modelos femeninas (n= 35) y masculinos (n=18) y controles femeninas (n= 40) y masculinos (n= 42). A los participantes se les evaluó la composición corporal y llenaron el Cuestionario Internacional de Actividad Física y escalas de IC específicas por sexo. El % de grasa corporal (%GC) fue menor en los modelos que en los controles y menor en hombres que en mujeres (p ≤ 0.001). La IC fue similar entre modelos femeninas y controles y entre hombres modelos y controles. Los hombres mostraron mayor actividad física (AF) que las mujeres (p = 0.001). Se encontraron correlaciones significativas entre la cantidad de AF semanal y el %GC (p ≤ 0.001). Se concluye que los modelos costarricenses son más altos y tienen menos %GC que los controles. Los hombres son físicamente más activos que las mujeres y la percepción de IC es similar entre sexos y grupos.
Introduction

The modeling industry, like any other business, depends on its workers to achieve economic goals. Model scouts look for stereotypical female and male youngsters in order to recruit them for this industry, promising a celebrity status, glory, and fortune. It has been reported that female models earn salaries of approximately $32,000/year with no benefits (Cline, 2013). In this context, physically attractive features such as being tall and having a slender, fit, and muscular body, as well as overall good looks (e.g., facial and sexual attractiveness) are key for models constantly appearing on fashion runways and in the media; however, some of these features are at odds with good health. For instance, new laws and modeling agencies have banned female models from fashion shows if their body mass index (BMI = body weight [kg] / body height [m²]) is < 18 kg/m² (Stableford, 2012). A low BMI has been related to several physical, metabolic, and psychological diseases (Berlinger & Potter, 1991; De Laet et al., 2005; Kremers, Nicola, Crowson, Ballman, & Gabriel, 2004; Liu et al., 2015; Ravn et al., 1999). BMI is a surrogate marker of body adiposity commonly used in epidemiological studies. Although related to body composition, the BMI does not always relate to body fat mass; a better indicator of a person’s body composition and disease risk predictor (American College of Sports Medicine, 2010, 2014). There is a dearth of studies describing models’ body composition using state-of-the-art or gold standard devices, such as dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). Although DXA provides valid and reliable information not only about body composition (e.g., total and regional fat mass) but also on bone mineral density (BMD), a marker of bone health, it is also known that it has an elevated cost and requires highly trained technicians for data acquisition (Nana, Slater, Stewart, & Burke, 2015).

Increased levels of physical activity (PA) are related to a healthy body composition profile (i.e., reduced body fat mass, increased muscle mass) and disease risk reduction (Ekelund et al., 2011). International organizations have defined PA as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure (Garber et al., 2011). There is no scientific literature describing the PA levels of models; however, in males, there is social pressure to perform PA that promotes an appearance of strength and power, and for females, PA is aimed at achieving a slender and toned body (Fanjul-Peyró & González-Oñate, 2011; Kim & Sagas, 2014). Unfortunately, this pressure might lead to a series of acute and chronic behaviors such as dieting, bulimic symptoms, and compulsive exercise (Fanjul-Peyró & González-Oñate, 2011; Record & Austin, 2016; Zancu & Enea, 2016).

It has been reported that models are under social pressure (e.g., family, relatives, media, peers) to achieve an idealized body, which affects their body image perception (Saüch & Castañer, 2013). The body image is defined as the internal representation of one’s external appearance, a
multidimensional construct including affective, cognitive, behavioral, and perceptual components (Altabe & Thompson, 1993; Hausenblas & Symons, 2001; Thompson, Heinberg, Altabe & Tantleff- Dunn, 1999). Evidence suggests that at least 40% of the US population shows body image dissatisfaction, including fashion models (Dwyer, 2004; Swami & Szmigielska, 2013; Yamamiya, Cash, Melnyk, Posavac, & Posavac, 2005).

Body image dissatisfaction is related to low self-esteem, depression, eating disorders, and even suicide (Preti, Usai, Miotto, Petretto, & Masala, 2008; Thompson & Cafri, 2007). Meta-analytical evidence consistently found that physically active individuals, including athletes, have lower body dissatisfaction than their sedentary counterparts (Campbell & Hausenblas, 2009; Hausenblas & Fallon, 2006; Hausenblas & Symons-Downs, 2001; Reel et al., 2007).

The vast majority of literature related to fashion models has been published with data from European and US samples. However, there is null information regarding body composition, physical activity, and body image among Central American models. Therefore, the aim of the study was to determine the association between body composition, physical activity, and body image among Costa Rican models and controls.

Methods

Participants

Male and female models and their respective controls participated in this study. Thus, fifty-three female (n = 35, M<sub>age</sub> = 23.5; SD = 5.2 yr.) and male (n = 18, M<sub>age</sub> = 24.8; SD = 4.7 yr.) models were compared to eighty-two female (n = 40, M<sub>age</sub> = 21.5; SD = 3.2 yr.) and male (n = 42, M<sub>age</sub> = 23.0; SD = 3.3 yr.) controls. Volunteers were recruited from modeling agencies, electronic social networks (e.g., Facebook), a newspaper post, and by participants’ word-of-mouth. These strategies were used given a groundless negative perception regarding research on models. Participants were eligible to enter the study if they were between the ages of 18 and 35, worked either as professional or part-time models, and had appearances in the media (e.g., television, magazines, printed and online news), and/or live-shows (e.g., beauty contests, fashion runways, special events).

Measurement instruments

Anthropometry and body composition assessment. Body height was determined by stadiometry (Novel Products Inc., Rockton, IL, USA) and body mass by an electronic scale (Tanita, model BF-350, Arlington Heights, IL, USA). The BMD and body fat percentage (BF%) for each participant were determined by a DXA scan with software enCore 2011, version # 13,60,033 (Lunar Prodigy Advance, General Electric, Madison, WI, USA).

Physical activity and body image assessment. PA was assessed with the short-version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (The IPAQ Group). This instrument has been previously used in a Costa Rican sample (Castillo-Hernández & Moncada-Jiménez, 2010) and consists of seven items from which energy expenditure is estimated in MET-min/week. Body image (BI) was assessed with figure scales, one for females (Furnham, Titman, & Sleeman,
1994) and another for males (Hildebrandt, Langenbucher, & Schlundt, 2004). The female BI scale shows nine female figures varying in muscularity from very thin to very muscular and has reported acceptable content evidence and test re-test reliability (r = 0.84) (Cafri & Thompson, 2004). The male BI scale shows 30 male figures varying in adiposity and muscularity dimensions, and reported convergent and divergent validity evidence as well as acceptable one-week apart test re-test reliability (r = 0.72 to 0.96) (Hildebrandt et al., 2004). For both measurement instruments, participants were required to rate the figure that most resembled them (i.e., actual or current) and the figure they wanted to look like (i.e., desired or future). BI satisfaction (or dissatisfaction) was computed as the difference (Δ) between actual minus desired BI. A negative Δ means that the person wanted to look more muscular or bigger, while a positive Δ indicated that the person wanted to look slimmer.

**Procedures**

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible revision committee on human experimentation of the University of Costa Rica and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. Informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study. After reading and signing the informed consent to participate in the study, volunteers were assessed at the Human Movement Sciences Research Center. Firstly, participants completed the written questionnaires in a quiet room. Secondly, volunteers were instructed to remove any metal and jewelry from their persons and to wear shorts and a T-shirt. Then, participants were asked to stand on the stadiometer, barefoot with the head, back, buttocks and legs against the wall and feet at 60°. After this, participants were asked to take a deep breath and height was recorded to the nearest 0.5 cm (American College of Sports Medicine, 2010, 2014). Following this procedure, participants were asked to step onto the body mass scale and stay still while body weight (kg) was read and recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg. BMI was computed from these measurements. Finally, participants, lying in supine position, were scanned by DXA. Full-body scans were performed and analyzed by the same trained operator, and to ensure data quality the equipment was calibrated daily using a known calibration standard following manufacturer instructions and current quality control recommendations (Nana et al., 2015).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with IBM-SPSS Statistics, version 20 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York). Values are presented as mean and standard deviations (M ± SD) for the continuous dependent variables body height and weight, BMI, BMD, BF%, PA and BI. Two-way group (models, controls) by gender (female, male) analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used to determine global differences in dependent variables. Independent samples t-tests were used to compare BI between genders and groups. Bivariate Pearson-product moment correlations between BF%, PA, BMD, and BI were calculated. Statistical significance for all tests was set a priori at p ≤ 0.05.
Results

Descriptive statistics for all participants (n = 135) are presented in Table 1. ANOVA tests showed that models were taller than controls (170.4 ± 0.9 vs. 166.6 ± 0.7 cm; \( p = 0.002 \)) and males were taller than females (175.4 ± 0.9 vs. 161.64 ± 0.75 cm; \( p \leq 0.001 \)). Male models had higher body weight than female models (\( p \leq 0.001 \)), and control males had higher body weight than female controls (\( p \leq 0.001 \)). Female models showed a lower BMI than female controls (\( p \leq 0.001 \)), and a similar BMI was found between model and control males (\( p = 0.696 \)). The BF\% was lower in models than controls (21.7 ± 1.0 vs. 26.2 ± 0.7\%; \( p \leq 0.001 \)), and BF\% was lower in males than females (16.5 ± 0.9 vs. 31.4 ± 0.8\%; \( p \leq 0.001 \)). BMD was higher in models than controls (1.18 ± 0.12 vs. 1.17 ± 0.01 g/cm\(^2\); \( p \leq 0.001 \)).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for female and male models and controls (n = 135).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Females (n = 75)</th>
<th>Males (n = 60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Models (n = 35)</td>
<td>Controls (n = 40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr.)</td>
<td>23.5 ± 5.2</td>
<td>21.5 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>163.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>156.9 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>55.4 ± 4.8</td>
<td>58.1 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>20.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>22.7 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF (%)</td>
<td>28.6 ± 6.8</td>
<td>34.2 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD (g/cm(^2))</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BMI = Body mass index, BF = Body fat mass, BMD = Bone mineral density

The ANOVA test showed no significant interaction in total weekly PA for male and female models and controls (\( p = 0.627 \)). A significant main effect indicates that regardless of the group (i.e., models, controls), males reported higher mean weekly PA levels than females (5771.27 ± 473.57 vs. 3626.93 ± 389.01 MET-min/week; \( p = 0.001 \)) (Figure 1). Participants were classified based on their weekly PA according to the IPAQ algorithm as having either low, moderate or high PA levels (Figure 2).
**Figure 1.** Weekly physical activity levels in male and female models and controls (n = 135).

* p = 0.001, all males > all females

**Figure 2.** Categorical physical activity levels (i.e., low, moderate and high) by group and gender (n = 135).
For all participants (n = 135), significant correlations were found between weekly PA and BF% (r = -0.38, p ≤ 0.001, Figure 3a), between weekly PA and BMD (r = 0.31, p ≤ 0.001, Figure 3b). Also, a significant correlation was found between BMD and BF% (r = -0.44, p ≤ 0.001). Regardless of the group (i.e., models, controls), a significant correlation was found between weekly PA and BF% in females (r = -0.26, p = 0.023) and males (r = -0.27, p = 0.039). In addition, regardless of gender, a significant correlation was found between weekly PA and BF% in models (r = -0.50, p ≤ 0.001) and controls (r = -0.31, p = 0.005).

No significant differences were found in BI dissatisfaction between females (models Δ = -1.31 ± 0.93 vs. controls Δ = -1.05 ± 1.26, p = 0.307) or males on the BI adiposity dimension dissatisfaction (models Δ = 12.22 ± 17.00 vs. controls Δ = 14.00 ± 13.34; p = 0.674) and the BI muscularity dimension dissatisfaction (models Δ = 11.11 ± 10.23 vs. controls Δ = 14.00 ± 10.33; p = 0.327).

For all males (n = 60), there was a significant correlation between BMD and the BI muscularity dimension dissatisfaction (r = -0.33, p = 0.012). No significant correlations were found between weekly PA and BI dissatisfaction in females (-0.01, p = 0.930), and between weekly PA and the BI adiposity and muscularity dimensions dissatisfaction in males (r = -0.04, p = 0.759 and r = 0.01, p = 0.921, respectively). Specifically, for female models, no significant correlations were found between BMD and weekly PA (r = 0.26, p = 0.135), BMD and BI dissatisfaction (r = 0.12, p = 0.481), and weekly PA and BI dissatisfaction (r = -0.01, p = 0.937). For female controls, no significant correlations were found between BMD and weekly PA (r = 0.08, p = 0.623), BMD and BI dissatisfaction (r = 0.09, p = 0.605), and weekly PA and BI dissatisfaction (r = 0.00, p = 0.994).

For male models, no significant correlations were found between BMD and weekly PA (r = 0.26, p = 0.308), BMD and the BI adiposity dimension dissatisfaction (r = -0.10, p = 0.688), weekly PA and the BI adiposity dimension dissatisfaction (r = 0.08, p = 0.759), and weekly PA and the BI muscular dimension dissatisfaction (r = 0.08, p = 0.767). A significant correlation was found between BMD and the BI muscular dimension dissatisfaction (r = -0.52, p = 0.029). For male controls, no significant correlations were found between BMD and weekly PA (r = 0.19, p = 0.225), BMD and the BI adiposity dimension dissatisfaction (r = 0.14, p = 0.379), and BMD and the BI muscularity dimension dissatisfaction (r = -0.25, p = 0.120). In addition, no significant correlations were found between weekly PA and the BI adiposity dimension dissatisfaction (r = -0.09, p = 0.566), and weekly PA and the BI muscular dimension dissatisfaction (r = 0.00, p = 0.963).
Figure 3. Scatter plots for the association between physical activity, body fat mass (%) and bone mineral density for all participants (n = 135).

Discussion

This is the first study undertaken in Central America to determine the association between body composition, physical activity and body image among models and controls. Anthropometric
analyses showed expected gender differences such as males being taller and heavier than females (Stewart & Sutton, 2012). Regardless of gender, models were taller, heavier and had higher BMD than controls. These differences are likely explained by a lower BF%, and consequently, a higher lean tissue body mass (Heymsfield, Lohman, Wang, & Going, 2005). The differences in BMD are unlikely explained only by PA since BMD is also related, among other variables, to income and micro- and macronutrient intake from the diet (Kabagambe, Baylin, Siles, & Campos, 2002), variables not recorded in this study. Besides, PA was similar between male models and controls and between female models and controls.

Previous evidence has shown that models had lower BMI than controls (Swami & Szmigielska, 2013; Zancu & Enea, 2016). According to the American College of Sports Medicine (2014), the mean BMI of the participants on the present study was within the normal healthy range (18.5-24.9 kg/m²). However, 14.3% of female models and 2.5% of female controls showed BMI values below 18.5 kg/m², considered low weight for their height. These BMI figures are lower than values previously reported for professional models (50%-54.5%) and controls (8.2%-12.7%) (Preti et al., 2008; Swami & Szmigielska, 2013). No data is available for male models, a group highly under-reported in the literature. A low BMI score has been related to increased risk for future fracture (especially in the hip), low BMD, increased bone loss, and recently, to poor psychological well-being, including dementia (Berlinger & Potter, 1991; De Laet et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2015; Ravn et al., 1999). However, in this study models had higher BMD than controls; therefore, more research on the association between these variables is required.

In this study, males reported being more physically active than females, a trend clearly reported in the literature from early childhood to adulthood (Colley et al., 2011; Frömel, Stelzer, Groffik, & Ernest, 2008). As mentioned before, no differences in PA were obtained among male or female models and controls. This area deserves further inquiry since tabloids suggest that top models workout and follow other healthy lifestyle patterns (Bourne, 2013; Held, 2013). Therefore, assessment tools are needed to properly track PA behavior and energy intake (i.e., food consumption) in this working group.

No significant differences were found on BI dissatisfaction between female models and controls. This suggests that adiposity and muscularity perceptions were similar between this group of models and controls. These findings are consistent with previous studies that have reported no significant differences on BI dissatisfaction between female models and controls (Brenner & Cunningham; Preti et al., 2008; Swami & Szmigielska, 2013). However, in spite of the lack of differences on BI satisfaction among female models and controls, it is emphasized that on average all females showed some degree of dissatisfaction with their physical appearance, which might be interpreted to mean that all wanted to look more muscular. This finding supports previous evidence showing not only that in general females show some degree of dissatisfaction with their body (Castillo-Hernández & Moncada-Jiménez, 2015; Sohn, 2009), but also their current struggle for being in better muscular and physical shape (Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015; Vogel, 2015). There is a lack of information on BI dissatisfaction in male models, which deserves further study.
In this study, significantly lower BF% and increased BMD were observed among participants reporting higher levels of weekly PA. In addition, participants with higher BMD also showed lower BF%, a finding previously reported in males (Kang et al., 2014). Increased levels of PA and a reduction of sedentary time are consistently related to improved bone health as measured in different bone sites (Chastin, Mandrichenko, Helbostadt, & Skelton, 2014), as well as a reduced BF% in a dose-dependent manner (Golubic et al., 2015).

For female models and controls, no significant correlations were found between weekly PA and BI dissatisfaction. Similarly, for male models and controls, no significant correlations were found between weekly PA and the BI dissatisfaction on the adiposity and muscular dimensions. These findings suggest that our participants had a positive BI perception regardless of their PA levels. In the general population, the evidence suggests that increased PA levels are inversely related to BI dissatisfaction (Campbell & Hausenblas, 2009; Hausenblas & Fallon, 2006; Hausenblas & Symons-Downs, 2001; Reel et al., 2007).

The present study had methodological limitations. We attempted to recruit models in several modeling agencies by telephone and e-mail from their personal websites and social media. We also contacted locally recognized models to ask for their help; however, they did not participate because they were busy or not interested. In some cases, they did not reply to our messages. Others previously described this recruitment problem (Preti et al., 2008); however, we gave our best effort to explain the tests and measures we wanted to perform. For those models who answered our calls, we observed a positive attitude towards the information provided following the body composition assessment. Indeed, they helped us to recruit more of their peers, which might have also likely resulted in selection biases that limit the generalizability of our findings. In addition, the sample was restricted to individuals residing in the Costa Rican Central Valley, between the ages of 18 and 35 years, which limits generalizability. Yet, the sample size used in this study is comparable to other studies performed in larger and more populated countries.

In conclusion, Costa Rican models were taller and had lower BF% and higher BMD than controls. Males were more physically active than females and BI was similar between genders and groups. More studies are needed to better describe other Central American models, as well as their perceptions on several psychosocial constructs related to body image, sedentary behavior, and energy intake and expenditure, among others.
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